EXHIBIT A

```
1
     IN RE:
                                    :SUPERIOR COURT OF
 2
    PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE
                                   :NEW JERSEY
    LITIGATION
                                    :LAW DIVISION -
 3
                                    :ATLANTIC COUNTY
                                    :MASTER CASE 6341-10
                                    :CASE NO. 291 CT
 4
 5
                                    :Civil Action
 6
       CONFIDENTIAL-SUBJECT TO STIPULATION AND ORDER OF
 7
                       CONFIDENTIALITY
 8
         EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY OF MILES MURPHY, M.D.
 9
10
                       November 30, 2012
11
12
                    Videotaped deposition of MILES MURPHY,
13
    M.D., held at BUTLER SNOW, 500 Office Center Drive,
14
     Suite 400, Blue Bell Conference Room, Fort Washington,
15
     Pennsylvania, commencing at approximately 9:43 a.m.,
    before Margaret M. Reihl, a Certified Realtime
16
17
    Reporter, Certified Court Reporter and Notary Public
    for the State of New Jersey and Commonwealth of
18
19
    Pennsylvania.
20
21
22
                   GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC.
23
                877.370.3377 ph 917.591.5672 fax
                       deps@golkow.com
24
25
```

```
APPEARANCES:
1
2
    MAZIE SLATER KATZ & FREEMAN, LLC
    BY: ADAM M. SLATER, ESQUIRE
3
    103 Eisenhower Parkway
    2nd Floor
    Roseland, New Jersey 07068
    (973) 228-9898
5
    aslater@mskf.net
    Representing the Plaintiffs
6
7
    BUTLER, SNOW, OMARA, STEVENS & CANNADA, PLLC
    BY: NILS B. (BURT) SNELL, ESQUIRE
8
    500 Office Center Drive, Suite 400
    Fort Washington, Pennsylvania 19034
9
    (267) 513-1884
    burt.snell@butlersnow.com
10
    Representing Johnson & Johnson and Ethicon
    (and the witness)
11
12
                       SILLS CUMMIS & GROSS, P.C.
    Also Present:
13
                       BY: ROBERTA L. BARNES, BSN, RN, LNC
                       The Legal Center, One Riverfront Plaza
14
                       Newark, New Jersey 07102
                       (973) 643-7000
15
                       David Lane, Videographer
16
                       Golkow Technologies
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 patient and how you're going to actually, for example,
- 2 trim the mesh and implant the mesh, correct?
- MR. SNELL: Object to the form.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- MR. SLATER: What is your objection?
- 6 MR. SNELL: Template, I'm not sure what
- 7 you mean by template.
- 8 MR. SLATER: You don't know what the
- 9 word template means, counsel; is that what you're
- 10 saying in good faith on this record?
- MR. SNELL: Yes, as to the Prolift® as
- 12 a template.
- 13 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q. Okay. You understood what I meant, right?
- 15 A. I think I did.
- Q. Let's go back to your Exhibit Murphy-1.
- 17 Page 32 is a bibliography. What does that
- 18 bibliography represent? It goes from Page 32 to 38.
- 19 What does that represent?
- 20 A. It represents the resources that I used in
- 21 drafting my report.
- Q. After the bibli -- well, rephrase.
- When you say the resources you used in
- 24 drafting your report, what do you mean by that?
- A. Meaning that when I wrote the report, most

- of the opinion, most of the body of the report is
- 2 based on scientific data, published data and whenever
- I used, for instance, a paper that had been published,
- 4 I referenced that in the report.
- 5 Q. So whatever clinical data you relied on in
- 6 writing your report is found in the bibliography?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Well, besides what's referenced in the
- 9 bibliography, what other clinical data did you rely on
- in forming your opinions in this case?
- 11 A. My own medical experience, my own clinical
- 12 experience and that of my colleagues.
- 13 Q. To the extent that clinical or medical data
- 14 is published someplace and you relied on it to some
- 15 extent in forming your opinions, is it listed in the
- 16 bibliography?
- 17 A. For this first report, yes.
- 18 Q. At the time you wrote and signed your first
- 19 report, which is Murphy-1, the published or documented
- 20 clinical data that you were relying on was listed in
- 21 the bibliography from Page 32 to 38, correct?
- MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: That was a pretty long
- 24 question, but I think the answer is yes.
- 25 BY MR. SLATER:

- Q. Okay. Well, what I was saying is at the
- 2 time you formed your opinions that are set forth in
- 3 Murphy-1, the first report you authored, to the extent
- 4 that you relied on data that is actually published,
- 5 actually documented, are those sources of data listed
- 6 in the bibliography?
- 7 A. The ones that I specifically referenced are
- 8 in the bibliography. It doesn't mean that I may not
- 9 have read something else in my life, in my last eight
- and a half years of practice and used that in forming
- 11 my opinions, but when I specifically, for instance,
- 12 quote a paper, I put it in my bibliography.
- Q. You understand one of the purposes of
- 14 writing your report is to give notice to myself and
- 15 other attorneys as to what your opinions are and what
- 16 you relied on in forming those opinions, correct?
- 17 A. Right.
- Q. You understood that, right?
- 19 A. I understand that generally you don't want
- 20 to be surprised at court if I, all of the sudden, want
- 21 to reference something and I haven't mentioned it
- 22 before.
- Q. Well, not just generally, but you understand
- 24 that the court rules actually say that if you're going
- to rely on something, you're supposed to actually

- 1 disclose what you relied on in forming your opinions;
- 2 did you understand that when you authored this report?
- 3 A. I think so. I'm not a lawyer but --
- Q. When you wrote this report and you attached
- 5 this bibliography to it --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- did you intend to give notice to myself
- 8 and other people in this case as to what published or
- 9 documented clinical data you were relying on in
- 10 forming your opinions in the report?
- MR. SNELL: Objection, go ahead.
- 12 THE WITNESS: When I wrote the report
- and compiled the bibliography, I wanted to make sure
- 14 that if there was important literature that I wanted
- 15 to reference in my report that I included in the
- 16 bibliography. That was my main purpose of doing the
- 17 bibliography.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q. So at the time that you wrote the report,
- 20 any literature that was -- rephrase.
- So at the time you wrote this report and
- 22 signed it, any published data, clinical data that you
- 23 felt was important to you in forming your opinions,
- 24 you listed in the bibliography?
- MR. SNELL: Objection, form.

```
1
                     THE WITNESS: Not necessarily. I
 2
     simply --
 3
     BY MR. SLATER:
               Well, tell me.
 4
          Q.
 5
          Α.
               Those were the ones that I used when I wrote
 6
     the report.
 7
               Well, is there something that you relied on
          Q.
 8
     that is published data at the time you wrote this
 9
     report that's not listed in the bibliography that you
10
     can point to right now?
11
          Α.
               That I relied on in actually writing this
12
     version of the report?
13
          0.
               Yes.
14
               I can't point to anything like that right
15
     now.
16
               Okay. After the bibliography there is a
          Q.
     section titled "Additional List of Materials - Miles
17
18
     Murphy, M.D."
19
               Do you see that?
20
          Α.
               Yes.
               What does that list represent?
21
22
          Α.
               That represents additional material that I
```

thought we might want to be able to reference in my

testimony on this case.

23

24

- on this list of additional materials before you signed
- 2 that report?
- 3 A. Briefly, yes.
- Q. When you say "briefly," what do you mean?
- 5 A. I looked at them.
- Q. Well, when you say "looked at them," for
- 7 example, there could be a deposition transcript, and
- 8 I'll take an example from this additional list of
- 9 materials. There's the deposition transcript of
- 10 exhibits of Piet Hinoul, P-i-e-t H-i-n-o-u-l, listed.
- 11 Did you read that entire transcript and
- 12 exhibits?
- A. No, I did not. That's a very long --
- 14 there's a couple volumes of that, but I had certainly
- 15 reviewed it.
- 16 Q. Well, when you say you reviewed it, what
- 17 does that mean?
- 18 A. I read some of it.
- 19 Q. How many pages of it did you read?
- 20 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you read more than ten pages of that
- 22 deposition?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. But you can't tell me beyond that what you
- 25 specifically read?

```
1
          Α.
                I can remember some of the things that I
 2
     read in it.
 3
          Ο.
               Well, was there -- well, we'll come back to
 4
     that.
 5
               Did you read -- it says -- rephrase it.
 6
                It says Jessica Shen, deposition transcript
 7
     with exhibits.
 8
               Did you read the entire deposition and
 9
     exhibits?
10
          Α.
               No.
11
          Q.
               It says Judi Gauld, deposition transcript
12
     with exhibits.
13
               Did you read the entire deposition and
     exhibits?
14
15
               I did not.
          Α.
16
               It says David Robinson, deposition
17
     transcript with exhibits.
18
               Did you read the entire deposition and read
     all the exhibits?
19
20
          Α.
               No.
21
          Q.
               And with regard to Jessica Shen, Piet
22
    Hinoul, Judi Gauld and David Robinson's deposition
23
     transcripts that are listed here, did you actually
24
     watch the videos of their depositions?
25
          Α.
               No.
```

- 1 Q. Didn't see any of those videos, correct?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. Have you seen the video of anyone's
- 4 deposition that's ever been taken in this case?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Did you ever ask to see any of the videos of
- 7 the actual deposition testimony of any witness in this
- 8 case?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. In writing the report, which we marked as
- 11 Murphy-1 -- well, rephrase.
- 12 This list of additional materials, are these
- 13 basically other materials that you wanted to list in
- 14 case you wanted to mention them during trial so you
- 15 could say, hey, you know that I listed them; is that
- 16 basically the purpose?
- MR. SNELL: Object to form. Go ahead.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I think that's a fair
- 19 assessment because from the time I drafted my report,
- there were a lot of depositions and your -- you know,
- 21 the plaintiffs' expert had referenced things, and I
- 22 wanted to make sure that I could reference other
- things as well.
- 24 BY MR. SLATER:
- O. Okay. Is it fair to say that at the time

- 1 you wrote your first report, which is Murphy-1, you
- 2 had not read all of the materials listed on the
- 3 list -- additional list of materials?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 9. Is it fair to say you did not rely on all
- 6 the materials listed in the additional list of
- 7 materials when you actually formed your opinions?
- 8 A. I would say that I didn't rely on all of
- 9 them, but it's very likely that I would have read some
- 10 of the other additional materials, just not quoted
- 11 them in my bibliography.
- 12 Q. When you wrote your report, you set forth
- opinions, and I'm talking about your first report,
- 14 Murphy-1, you set forth certain opinions in the
- 15 report, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Were those all of the opinions you had
- 18 formed with regard to this litigation at the time that
- 19 you authored that report?
- 20 A. I don't know that I -- I mean, I have lots
- of opinions about this case. I don't know that every
- 22 single solitary one was listed in the report.
- Q. You understood that one of the purposes of
- 24 your report was to give notice to attorneys in the
- 25 litigation like myself of what your opinions were,

```
1 correct?
```

- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Did you endeavor, when you wrote this
- 4 report, to list each of the opinions that you had
- formed at the time that you authored the report; was
- 6 that your goal?
- 7 A. My goal was simply to write a report that
- 8 reflected my views of Prolift® in this case.
- 9 Q. Okay. And the opinions set forth in your
- 10 first report, Murphy-1, accomplished that, from your
- 11 perspective?
- 12 A. I think so, but I think that in looking at
- other people's depositions, there may have been things
- that they covered that I didn't think were necessarily
- 15 essential to cover in my first report and, therefore,
- 16 wanted to have some supplemental material later on.
- Q. At the time that you authored your first
- 18 report --
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. -- the day that you put your signature, your
- 21 electronic signature on there, typed your name in, did
- that represent the opinions you had formed as of that
- 23 point in time with regard to this litigation?
- MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
- THE WITNESS: Yes.

- 1 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q. In the report you listed many facts from
- 3 various sources of information that you referred to in
- 4 the report, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you, in writing the report, attempt to
- 7 list those facts that you felt were most important to
- 8 you in forming your opinions as set forth in the
- 9 report?
- 10 A. I think that's a fair thing to say.
- 11 Q. If you read something in one of the
- 12 depositions that you listed in your additional
- 13 materials -- rephrase.
- Let me ask you this: Had you read any parts
- of the Jessica Shen, Piet Hinoul, Judi Gauld and David
- 16 Robinson deposition transcripts at the time you wrote
- 17 the report, or did you just list them at the time
- 18 because it was something that you thought you might
- 19 want to reference later?
- A. When I wrote Murphy-1?
- 21 Q. Yes.
- 22 A. I believe I had not seen those when I wrote
- 23 Murphy-1.
- Q. At the time you wrote Murphy-1 and signed
- 25 it, had you read all of the expert reports that are

- 1 listed below the list of deposition transcripts, or
- were those things you listed because you planned to
- 3 read them at a later date?
- A. I'm sorry. Which are you referring to? Are
- 5 you referring to something in the bibliography?
- 6 O. I'm looking the list of additional
- 7 materials.
- 8 A. Oh, additional materials. I'm sorry. Can
- 9 you repeat the question then?
- 10 Q. Sure. Go to the page where you listed the
- 11 four deposition transcripts?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Because right below that are a list of
- 14 expert reports.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 O. Might as well turn to it.
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. Right before your CV.
- 19 Are you with me now?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. On the last page of the list of additional
- 22 materials, there's a list of expert reports under
- three headings, expert general reports, Plaintiff
- 24 Gross, Plaintiff Wicker.
- Do you see that?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. At the time that you authored Murphy-1, your
- 3 first report, had you read those, or did you simply
- 4 list those in the list of additional materials because
- 5 they were things that you intended to read later?
- A. The Anne Weber expert report, I believe I
- 7 had that at the time I drafted Murphy-1. I certainly
- 8 did not read every page of that report, but I had read
- 9 a significant amount of it. I don't think that I had
- 10 read any of the other reports at the time I drafted
- 11 Murphy-1.
- 12 Q. Okay. With regard to the list of additional
- materials, with the exception of the deposition
- 14 transcripts and expert reports, which you've already
- 15 spoken about, are you able to go through this list if
- 16 you needed to, and would you be able to tell me which
- 17 things you had looked at at the time you wrote the
- 18 report versus those things that you just listed
- 19 because you intended to look at them later, or would
- 20 that be something you would be unable to do?
- 21 A. I think I'd be unable to do that.
- Q. Okay. To the extent that you felt that
- 23 something was important enough to actually reference
- 24 it in the report itself as having been relied on,
- 25 those materials are listed in the bibliography,

- 1 Q. That's just an assumption you're forming?
- A. It's an educated assumption.
- Q. Do you know what data was available to
- 4 Ethicon at the time the decision was made that the
- 5 Prolift® is safe and effective to be marketed?
- 6 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question.
- 7 Q. Sure. Do you know what specific data was
- 8 available to Ethicon as of February, March 2005 when
- 9 they were actually now launching the Prolift®, what
- 10 they actually were relying on at the time they made
- 11 the decision, yes, it's safe and effective, yes, we
- 12 can market it?
- 13 A. I do not know what they were relying on.
- 14 Q. Since you don't know specifically what
- 15 they're relying on, you're not going to offer any
- 16 specific opinions about whether that data was
- 17 sufficient or not; fair statement?
- 18 MR. SNELL: Objection, form.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I'm happy to offer
- 20 opinions on the data that was present. I'm not going
- 21 to make an expert opinion as to what Ethicon was
- 22 relying on. I have no idea what they thought was
- 23 important.
- 24 BY MR. SLATER:
- Q. My question is this: Since you don't know

- 1 what Ethicon specifically was relying on when they
- 2 made that decision to launch the Prolift®, you
- 3 wouldn't be offering me an opinion about whether
- 4 something you're not familiar with was reasonable or
- 5 not, correct?
- A. Not unless you give me some information
- 7 about what they knew and what they were relying upon,
- 8 and then I'd be happy to make an opinion on it.
- 9 Q. Well, this is my chance to ask you what you
- 10 know and what your opinions are.
- So as you sit here now, you have no opinion
- 12 on that, correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Do you know Axel Arnaud? Did you ever meet
- 15 him?
- 16 A. I think I met him in an airport once.
- 17 Q. Attached to your supplemental report, which
- 18 we marked as Murphy-2, is a list of transcripts,
- 19 expert reports and literature and then an other
- 20 section, right?
- 21 A. I see that.
- Q. Did you read all these materials before
- 23 signing this report on November 28, 2012?
- 24 A. What I will say is I've been bombarded with
- documents in the last two weeks. I open the Fed Ex

- 1 A. I may have spoken to them personally. I
- 2 don't know that we were speaking about that. I know
- 3 there are people like at the Mayo Clinic and Cleveland
- 4 Clinic that get a lot of these referred in to them,
- 5 and I've certainly spoken to a lot of those
- 6 physicians.
- 7 I don't know that -- I know that a lot of
- 8 people have come to me at meetings and said, hey, you
- 9 know, we're seeing more problems with these types of
- 10 things than what you guys are reporting. And I know
- 11 Matt Barber, his group did a presentation on, you
- 12 know, removing mesh and things like that and what we
- 13 call tips and tricks in terms of techniques for doing
- 14 that.
- Q. Let me come back to your report, your
- 16 supplemental report. We were talking about the list
- 17 of materials.
- Are there materials on this list that you
- 19 probably have not read at this point?
- 20 A. Certainly in their entirety, yes.
- Q. Are there materials on this list that you
- 22 probably just scanned very quick and couldn't even
- 23 tell me what those materials said, as you sit here
- 24 now?
- A. As I sit here now, probably yes.

- 1 Q. Are you able to point out, other than
- 2 Dr. Margolis' transcript and Dr. Elliott's transcript,
- 3 which you said you believe you read completely, and
- 4 Dr. Lucente's you said you read --
- 5 A. 10%.
- 6 Q. 10% -- can you give me any quantification of
- 7 how much of these other materials you reviewed?
- A. It would be something pretty close to a
- 9 quess. Let me say this, less than 20% of all of them.
- 10 Q. In the list of materials there's literature,
- and on the second page of that there's a series of
- 12 articles towards the middle, where the first author in
- 13 four straight is Klinge, K-l-i-n-g-e.
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Do you know who that is?
- 17 A. He's one of these names that I see in
- 18 regards to mesh, basic science regarding mesh.
- 19 Q. Anything else?
- 20 A. I don't know him personally. I don't even
- 21 know if it's a man or a woman, to be honest with you.
- 22 Q. Have you made a point of studying the basic
- 23 science with regard to polypropylene mesh and how it
- interacts within the woman's pelvis?
- 25 A. I certainly have tried to keep up on all the

- 1 standpoint.
- Q. Is there -- well, let me ask you this: The
- 3 standard you just gave me of what you think should be
- 4 in an IFU, is that just your own personal standard?
- 5 A. That was my opinion of what makes sense to
- 6 be in an IFU.
- 7 Q. That's your own personal opinion, not based
- 8 on any other information you've read or seen, correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. It's just your own personal viewpoint, your
- 11 own personal standard, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. With regard to what would need to be
- included in the patient brochure with regard to risks
- and benefits, to the extent you've drawn any opinions
- in your report on that, again, is that based on your
- own personal standard, your own personal opinion?
- 18 A. I do not -- I think the answer is yes
- 19 because I don't know any sort of legal quidelines by
- 20 which patient brochures are supposed to be produced.
- Q. And do you have any information you can
- share with me now that you gleaned from any Ethicon
- 23 documents or testimony where you saw what Ethicon
- 24 thought the standards were to determine whether or not
- 25 a risk or a benefit would need to be described and how

- 1 it should be described in a patient brochure?
- 2 A. I don't recall seeing any standards that
- 3 they refer to.
- Q. Did you see any testimony in any deposition
- 5 that you're relying on, as you sit here now, with
- 6 regard to what needs to be included in an IFU?
- 7 A. I do not recall seeing anything like that.
- 8 O. So, again, with regard to the IFU and the
- 9 contents of the IFU, whatever opinion you're drawing
- 10 is just based on your own personal opinion, not based
- on what any other standards may be or what anyone else
- 12 might think, correct?
- 13 A. Right. It's my expert opinion, not based on
- 14 outside information.
- O. And in your entire career, have you ever
- 16 been asked to determine what information needs to be
- 17 provided in an IFU?
- 18 A. Not that I recall.
- 19 Q. Have you ever in your career ever been asked
- to give input on what should be in a patient brochure?
- 21 A. Not that I recall.
- 22 O. So the first time you've ever offered such
- opinions and done this type of analysis has been in
- this case as an expert, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Show me where.
- A. Okay. They say, although rare,
- 4 complications include injury to blood vessels of the
- 5 pelvis. If you injure a blood vessel of the pelvis,
- 6 that could lead to you bleeding out and dying. That
- 7 is a life-changing complication. If you injure a
- 8 nerve, I think that could lead to pelvic pain.
- 9 If you have difficulty urinating, if you
- 10 have bladder or bowel injury, I think that could be a
- 11 life-changing or life-altering -- no, life changing is
- 12 the term that they use -- complication. So that's why
- 13 I said yes to your question.
- Q. So you're basically saying that based on
- 15 that language, you would assume that patients would
- 16 figure out that when they read that language, that is
- 17 communicating to them that the complications they can
- 18 suffer from the Prolift® can be life changing and that
- 19 those complications can result in incapacitating
- 20 pelvic pain, dyspareunia and large-scale erosions that
- 21 can be exceedingly complex and not easily resolved?
- 22 A. I don't think that a patient brochure is --
- 23 the point of it is to explain every potential possible
- 24 thing that can happen to a patient. I think what the
- 25 point of a patient brochure is is to facilitate a

- 1 discussion between the patient and her surgeon
- 2 regarding the surgery that that surgeon is about to
- 3 perform upon the patient, and, yes, I think that is
- 4 adequate.
- Q. When you say that that is adequate, that, as
- 6 I think we described earlier or discussed earlier,
- 7 that is your personal viewpoint, not based on any
- 8 standards that Ethicon was utilizing or any other
- 9 standards you can point to for what needs to be
- 10 communicated in a patient brochure, correct?
- 11 A. I'm not holding myself out as a expert on
- 12 regulatory issues within Gynecare, correct.
- 13 Q. Are you holding yourself out as an expert
- 14 with regard to what needs to be communicated in the
- 15 patient brochure?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. What standards, other than your own personal
- 18 viewpoint, what source of information are you relying
- 19 on besides that?
- 20 A. My standards as a caring, compassionate
- 21 physician.
- 22 Q. But it's your own personal standard,
- 23 correct?
- A. And I think that's shared by the vast
- 25 majority of doctors out there.

- Q. But you've never studied that question, and
- you can't point to anything to confirm that, correct?
- A. I have no publications on that.
- Q. Let's take a step back. Here in the patient
- 5 brochure, Page 13, there's a heading that says "What
- 6 are the risks?" And it says that the complications
- 7 from the Prolift® procedure are rare.
- 8 Do you see that?
- 9 A. No, I don't see that it says that. It says,
- 10 "although rare, complications associated with the
- 11 procedure include" those things, so it's saying that
- 12 not every complication is going to be common to the
- 13 procedure.
- Q. Let's go to the prior page, if you could,
- and we're going to read a few things together.
- 16 At the top of Page 10 of the patient
- 17 brochure, it says, What is Gynecare Prolift®, and it
- describes that and says, "A new and revolutionary
- 19 minimally invasive surgical procedure using Gynecare
- 20 Prolift[®], " and it goes on, right?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Then if we go to the next page, when it
- 23 says, "What are the risks?" It says, "All surgical
- 24 procedures present some risks. Although rare,
- 25 complications associated with the procedure, " and

- Q. Am I correct that you reviewed very little
- 2 by way of documents indicating what the people within
- 3 medical affairs at Ethicon thought at any particular
- 4 point in time?
- 5 A. What I'm saying is I got stacks of documents
- 6 within the last two weeks that were about 2 feet high,
- 7 and I have only gotten through a small percentage of
- 8 that.
- 9 Q. As you sit here now, you don't feel that you
- 10 have a good understanding of what the people in
- 11 medical affairs at Ethicon thought with regard to mesh
- 12 shrinkage, erosion or other topics?
- 13 A. If you read my report, I don't think
- 14 anywhere do I mention what the people in medical
- 15 affairs at Gynecare knew or didn't know.
- Q. Let's turn to the page that at the top says
- 17 "Clinical impact of mesh shrinkage."
- 18 A. How far?
- 19 Q. It's about ten pages in or so.
- A. What's the topic -- the title again?
- Q. "Clinical impact of mesh shrinkage."
- A. Not how to assess?
- Q. It's right before that.
- A. Right before that. I don't see anything
- 25 before that. I see how to assess mesh shrinkage,

- 1 Q. Do you know what PVDF is?
- A. Off the top of my head, I can't recall what
- 3 that stands for.
- 4 Q. Do you know what Pronova is?
- 5 A. Pronova?
- 6 Q. P-r-o-n-o-v-a?
- 7 A. No, I don't recall that.
- MR. SLATER: Why don't we take five
- 9 minutes. I may be done.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
- 11 record. The time is 9:53 p.m.
- 12 (Brief recess.)
- 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
- 14 record. Here marks the beginning of Volume 1, Tape
- 15 Number 9 in the deposition of Dr. Miles Murphy. The
- 16 time is 10:05 p.m.
- 17 BY MR. SLATER:
- 18 Q. I'm looking now at the additional list of
- 19 materials, and go to the point where it says other
- 20 documents after the list of articles. Turn forward a
- 21 couple pages.
- A. Forward, meaning keep going?
- Q. Yes. Go to the page where at the top the
- 24 first document listed is Ethicon memo to R. Roussesau
- 25 from Thomas Barbolt.

- 1 A. I see it.
- 2 Q. Have you reviewed that document?
- 3 A. I don't recall reviewing it.
- Q. Go to the next document Ethicon Report, PSE
- 5 Accession No., et cetera, is that a document you
- 6 reviewed?
- 7 A. I don't recall.
- 8 Q. Go to the third document, Ethicon March 5,
- 9 2001 memo, et cetera, is that a document you reviewed?
- 10 A. I don't remember reviewing that.
- 11 Q. The fourth document listed here, Ethicon
- 12 December 2, 2001 memo to Maggie D'Aversa, et cetera,
- is that a document you reviewed?
- 14 A. I don't remember reviewing it.
- 15 Q. The next document listed, Ethicon Final
- 16 Report PSE Accession No., et cetera, a 28-day tissue
- 17 reaction study, is that a document you reviewed?
- 18 A. I don't remember. I don't recall reviewing
- 19 that.
- 20 O. The next document, Ethicon Final Report, PSE
- 21 Accession No., et cetera, 14-day adhesion prevention
- 22 study, did you review that document?
- 23 A. I don't recall reviewing that document.
- Q. Go to the next document listed, which is
- 25 Ethicon Report PSE Accession No. 02-0579, Project No.

- 1 48010, et cetera, did you review that document?
- 2 A. I may have.
- Q. Is there anything you can tell me about it
- 4 now that's of any significance?
- 5 A. No.
- Q. Go to the next document, Ethicon report
- 7 dated 1/19/05 Biocompatibility Risk Assessment, is
- 8 that a document you reviewed?
- 9 A. Again, I may have. It looks familiar, but I
- 10 don't -- I couldn't tell you anything substantive
- 11 about what it said.
- 12 Q. Next document, Ethicon Completion Report:
- 13 BE-2004-1606, design verification, et cetera, is that
- 14 a document you reviewed?
- 15 A. I don't recall reviewing that.
- Q. The next document, clinical study report
- 17 evaluation of the TVM technique for treatment of
- 18 genital prolapse dated June 27, 2006, is that a
- 19 document you reviewed?
- 20 A. That looks familiar.
- Q. Is there anything you can tell me about it
- in terms of whether there's anything of significance
- 23 in it, as you sit here now?
- A. Significance in relation to what?
- Q. Your opinions?

- 1 A. I can't recall anything.
- O. Go to the next document, clinical study
- 3 report evaluation of TVM technique for treatment of
- 4 genital prolapse dated June 28, 2006, did you review
- 5 that document?
- A. I may have, but, again, I would give you the
- 7 same answer as the previous document.
- Q. Let's go to the next page. Ethicon Final
- 9 Report PSE Accession No. 00-0035, an exploratory
- 10 91-day tissue reaction, et cetera, is that a document
- 11 you reviewed?
- 12 A. I may have. Again, I remember reviewing
- 13 some documents regarding animal models.
- 14 Q. Is there anything about this document that
- 15 you can tell me now?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Anything of any significance?
- 18 A. No, not at this moment.
- 19 Q. The next document, Final Report PSE Study,
- 20 No. 08-0311; Project No. 67624, some sort of a rabbit
- 21 study, is that something that you read?
- 22 A. Same answer as last question.
- 23 O. The next document, chart comparing Ethicon,
- 24 AMS and Bard's products by characteristic, area
- 25 weight, largest pore size, et cetera, is that a

- 1 document you reviewed?
- 2 A. I don't recall.
- 3 Q. The next document, Ethicon Performance
- 4 Evaluation Technical Report, Assessment of Competitor
- 5 Pelvic Floor Repair Meshes, Version 1 study number, et
- 6 cetera, did you review that document?
- 7 A. I don't recall.
- 8 Q. The next document, International
- 9 Urogynecological Association: The Usage of Grafts in
- 10 Pelvic Reconstructive Surgery Symposium 2005, did you
- 11 review that document?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What's the significance of that document?
- 14 A. It was a -- if I'm recalling correctly, it
- was a symposium of people in the International Urogyn
- 16 Association looking at the usage of grafts in pelvic
- 17 reconstructive surgery, and I think it was focusing on
- 18 transvaginal use of grafts.
- 19 Q. Is there anything that you can tell me about
- 20 that now that's of any significance to you in forming
- 21 your opinions?
- 22 A. I can't recall.
- Q. The next document, Anatomic Overview of
- 24 Prolift Anterior and Posterior Procedure, is that
- 25 something you reviewed?

- 1 A. I think so.
- Q. Do you know what it is? What is that
- 3 document?
- A. I think it's a computer-generated model for
- 5 the procedures and it's narrated.
- 6 O. Is it something that you ever utilized or
- 7 saw in the course of your practice or professional
- 8 education?
- 9 A. I believe so.
- 10 Q. What use was made of that document; do you
- 11 know?
- 12 A. It's helpful in getting a three-dimensional
- 13 appreciation of pelvic floor anatomy.
- 14 Q. Does that animation, from your prospective,
- 15 provide a fair representation of, in broad
- 16 illustrative terms, the Prolift® procedure?
- A. If I'm recalling the proper thing, yes, it
- 18 does.
- 19 Q. The next document listed, Gynecare Prolift®
- 20 Pelvic Floor Repair Systems Procedure DVD, do you know
- 21 specifically which procedure DVD that is?
- 22 A. I couldn't say for sure.
- O. Did you review it?
- A. I've reviewed a procedure DVD of one of the
- 25 French surgeons doing the Prolift®.

- 1 Q. Do you know if that's what this is?
- 2 A. I couldn't say for sure, don't recall.
- Q. Did you rely on it in any way in forming
- 4 your opinions, whatever DVD you saw?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. How?
- 7 A. It was an example of how a Prolift® is
- 8 performed.
- 9 Q. Would that DVD, from your perspective, be a
- 10 fair representation of how the Prolift® procedure is
- 11 performed?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- MR. SLATER: And, counsel, I'm going to
- 14 make a request just to get the Bates numbers on those
- two documents, okay, just so I know which ones they
- 16 are.
- MR. SNELL: Okay.
- 18 BY MR. SLATER:
- 19 Q. At the very bottom of this page, it says
- 20 letter to EWHU Field Sales Force from Price St.
- 21 Hilaire dated October 23, '06 regarding criteria for
- 22 surgeons being trained for Gynecare Prolift®.
- Did you review that document?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Next page at the top, letter to Gregory

- Jones from Celia M. Witten with FDA dated 1/8/02
- 2 regarding Gynemesh® Prolene® synthetic surgical mesh,
- 3 et cetera.
- Is that a document you reviewed?
- 5 A. I don't recall reviewing it.
- 6 O. The next document, memo to materials -- to
- 7 hospital materials managers and/or directors from
- 8 Gynecare Worldwide Ethicon dated October 10, 2002
- 9 regarding Gynemesh® PS, is that a document you
- 10 reviewed?
- 11 A. I don't recall reviewing it.
- 12 Q. The next document, memo to customer from
- 13 Sean M. O'Bryan dated February 8, 2005 regarding
- 14 Gynecare Prolift®, did you review that document?
- 15 A. I don't recall reviewing that document.
- 16 O. If you could just turn back three pages to
- 17 the beginning where it says "Other Documents." This
- 18 is, again, in your additional materials section of
- 19 your original report where it says Other Documents.
- 20 At the very bottom of the page it says Clinical Expert
- 21 Report Gynecare Prolift® Pelvic Floor Repair System
- 22 dated July 2, 2010, did you review that document?
- 23 A. I may have. I don't recall.
- Q. Go to the next page. At the top, clinical
- 25 study report evaluation of the TVM technique for

- 1 treatment of genital prolapse, is that something you
- 2 reviewed?
- A. I may have, but I don't recall. I couldn't
- 4 tell you anything substantive about it.
- 5 Q. The next document, would the answer be the
- 6 same?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now, the third document on this page, would
- 9 the answer be the same?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. The fourth document, which says Exhibit 15,
- 12 letter to Bryan Lisa from Mark Melkerson, is that a
- 13 document you reviewed?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- 15 Q. The next document, memo to Jennifer Paine
- 16 from Renee Selman dated 1/16/08, did you review that
- 17 document?
- 18 A. I don't recall reviewing that document.
- 19 Q. Next document, one year objective and
- 20 functional outcomes -- well, I know you saw that.
- 21 That's no problem.
- Next document -- the seventh document on
- 23 this page, Summary of Safety and Effectiveness
- 24 submitted by Bryan Lisa for Gynecare Prolift® and
- 25 Prolift+M® stamped May 15, 2008, two pages, did you

- 1 review that document?
- 2 A. I don't recall.
- Q. If you skip down a few lines it says, 2007
- 4 and 2008 Gynecare Prolift® Pelvic Floor Repair Systems
- 5 slides (46 pages). What is that?
- A. I believe that is a PowerPoint presentation
- 7 regarding professional education.
- 8 Q. Did you review it in connection with this
- 9 case?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. Is it of any significance to you on any
- 12 particular issue?
- 13 A. If you wanted to name an issue, I could say
- 14 whether it's significant or not. I think -- yes, I
- mean, yeah, it certainly goes to what professional
- 16 education Gynecare provided to physicians.
- 17 Q. Next it says 2005 to 2006, Gynecare Prolift®
- 18 Pelvic Floor Repair Systems slides (16 pages). Do
- 19 you know what that is?
- 20 A. I think that's a similar slide set for
- 21 professional education that I believe I reviewed.
- O. The next item, Gynecare Gynemesh® PS
- 23 nonabsorbable Prolene® Soft mesh IFU, did you review
- 24 that?
- 25 A. I think I probably did.

```
1
          Ο.
               Do you have any recollection of reading it?
 2
               I can't recall right now.
 3
          Q.
               Is there anything that you can point to now
     that's of significance to you with regard to that
 4
 5
     document?
          Α.
               I can't recall right now.
 7
                    MR. SLATER:
                                Thank you.
                                             I don't have
 8
     any other questions for you tonight.
 9
                    THE WITNESS: Thank you.
                    MR. SLATER: I am going to reserve my
10
11
     rights only with regard to some e-mails I had
12
     exchanged with defense counsel the last couple days,
13
     not with counsel who is present. We had asked for
14
     documents to be searched to see if there was anything
     in the files of Ethicon with regard to Dr. Murphy,
15
16
     other than what we have been previously produced in
17
     the DFSes, and counsel never apparently did the search
18
     or produced any documents. So in case something were
19
     to come out that we thought was really pressing, we'll
20
     reserve our rights; otherwise, thank you very much.
21
                    THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22
                    MR. SNELL: How much time do you have?
23
    Did you put a new tape in?
24
                    THE VIDEOGRAPHER:
25
                    MR. SLATER: Doesn't it feel good to
```